

1
2 **Jason K. Singleton, State Bar #166170**
jason@singletonlawgroup.com
3 **Richard E. Grabowski, State Bar # 236207**
rgrabowski@mckinleyville.net
4 **SINGLETON LAW GROUP**
5 **611 "L" Street, Suite A**
Eureka, CA 95501
6 **(707) 441-1177**
FAX 441-1533

7 **Attorney for Plaintiff, STEVEN L. KLIEGMAN**

8
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 **STEVEN L. KLIEGMAN,**

12 **Plaintiff,**

13 **v.**

14 **COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT, a public entity,**
15 **and DOES ONE to FIFTY, inclusive,**

16 **Defendants.**

Case No. C-09-00006 NJV

**STIPULATED MOTION FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FROM
GENERAL ORDER 56 PURSUANT TO
GENERAL ORDER 56 AND LOCAL RULE
7-11**

17 Comes now Plaintiff and Defendant, by and through the counsel and submit the
18 following Stipulated Motion for Administrative Relief from General Order 56.

19 **DISCUSSION**

20
21 This is an Americans with Disabilities Act Title III case. General Order 56 requires, inter
22 alia, the parties meet and confer on the subject property, conduct a joint inspection, and confer
23 on resolution of the barriers to access.

24 Pursuant to Disclosures made by Defendant COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT, the subject
25 property falls under a Settlement Agreement between the United States Department of Justice
26 and the County of Humboldt dated July 23, 2008, in which modifications to the subject property
27 were set forth and agreed to be completed by July 23, 2010.

28 As a result, Plaintiff and Defendant request this matter be relieved from the
requirements of General Order 56.

The parties have been discussing settlement and the parties further stipulate Defendant County of Humboldt be granted an extension to answer the complaint until April 3, 2009, in order to ascertain whether this matter can be settled without further expense to the parties.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

SINGLETON LAW GROUP

Dated: March 24, 2009

/s/ Jason K. Singleton

Jason K. Singleton,
Richard E. Grabowski, Attorneys for
Plaintiff, **STEVEN L. KLIEGMAN**

MITCHELL, BRISSO, DELANEY & VRIEZE

Dated: March 24, 2009

/s/ William F. Mitchell

William F. Mitchell, Attorneys for Defendant ,
COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

ORDER

Based on the foregoing stipulation, the parties are relieved at this time from the requirements of General Order 56 subject to the court's reinstatement of the requirements of General Order 56 if deemed appropriate by the court. Defendant shall file an answer on or before April 3, 2009.

Dated: March 30, 2009



NANDOR J. VADAS
United States Magistrate Judge